

DECLARATION OF CONFORMITY BY THE MANAGEMENT AND BY THE SUPERVISORY BOARD OF BORUSSIA DORTMUND GMBH & CO. KGAA IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 161 AKTG DATED 24 SEPTEMBER 2013

In accordance with § 161 AktG, the management of the general partner (Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH) and the Supervisory Board of Borussia Dortmund GmbH & Co. KGaA declare that since the last Declaration of Conformity was submitted on 10 September 2012 and, prior to the publication of the revision to the German Corporate Governance Code ("GCGC") in the Federal Gazette (*Bundesanzeiger*) on 10 June 2013, Borussia Dortmund GmbH & Co. KGaA has complied with the recommendations of the GCGC as amended on 15 May 2012 as well as the recommendations of the GCGC as amended on 13 May 2013 after having been published in the Federal Gazette on 10 June 2013, and that it will comply with the recommendations of the GCGC as amended on 13 May 2013, with the exception of the following deviations due to certain specific characteristics of the KGaA legal form and the provisions of the Articles of Association of the Company.

Re section 3.8 (3): The D&O policy does not include a deductible; there is no intention to change this because, to our understanding, the negotiation of a deductible will neither influence the behaviour of the members of the executive bodies nor would it provide appropriate motivation.

Re section 4.2.1 sentence 2: The Supervisory Board of Borussia Dortmund GmbH & Co. KGaA has no authority to appoint and dismiss Managing Directors of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH or to stipulate the terms of their service agreements; this is incumbent upon the Executive Committee of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH. The management has been the responsibility of Hans-Joachim Watzke (Chairman) and Thomas Treß (Managing Director) since January 2006. Their areas of responsibility have been defined in their service agreements; moreover, the Managing Directors exercise the authority granted to them by law and the Articles of Association jointly and in close cooperation with each other. Therefore, the relevant executive bodies of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH have considered and continue to consider it unnecessary to stipulate additional rules of procedure for the management.

Re section 4.2.2 (2) sentence 3 (section 4.2.2 (1) sentence 2 of the GCGC as amended on 15 May 2012): Article 7 of Borussia Dortmund GmbH & Co. KGaA's

Articles of Association stipulates that the general partner has a right to reimbursement of the staff and materials expenses incurred by it in the course of managing the Company, plus a commission amounting to 3% of the net profit for the year generated by the Company. Moreover, as in the past, the Executive Committee of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH (deviation from Supervisory Board responsibility as stipulated in section 4.2.2 (2) sentence 3 (section 4.2.2 (1) sentence 2 of the GCGC as amended on 15 May 2012)) will continue to adopt and regularly review the remuneration and the remuneration system for the Managing Directors.

Re section 4.2.3 (2) sentences 4 and 6 as well as section 4.2.3 (2) sentence 8 (section 4.2.3 (3) sentence 3 of the GCGC as amended on 15 May 2012): The remuneration structure for the Managing Directors of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH is adopted by the Executive Committee of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH. As in the past, this will continue to be adopted without considering negative developments when structuring the Managing Directors' variable remuneration components and without limiting the sum of the variable remuneration components to a maximum amount; in addition, the Executive Committee will not exclude the possibility of retroactive modifications to performance targets and/or comparison parameters. Given the specific features of the legal form KGaA, the relevant recommendations appear irrelevant to and impracticable for the Company.

Re section 4.2.3 (4) and (5): The Code recommends that German stock corporations stipulate severance caps in executive board members' service agreements in the event of early termination of executive board activity or due to early termination of executive board activity due to a change of control. As in the past, the Executive Committee will continue to have decision-making power in relation to the (re-)appointment of the Managing Directors of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH, generally without stipulating severance caps as such, given that due to the specific features of the legal form KGaA and the provisions of the Articles of Association of the Company, the aforementioned recommendations do not appear practicable. However, the Executive Committee does consider the recommendation not to pay members of the executive board in the event of the termination of their

service agreements for good cause analogously applicable to the Managing Directors of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH.

Re section 4.2.3 (6): As in the past, the Chairman of the Supervisory Board will not report to the Annual General Meeting on the fundamentals of the remuneration system or changes thereto because – as mentioned above – the Supervisory Board of Borussia Dortmund GmbH & Co. KGaA has no authority to appoint and dismiss Managing Directors of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH or to stipulate the terms of their service agreements.

Re section 4.2.5 (3) sentences 1 and 2: The Code as amended on 13 May 2013 now recommends that the remuneration report for financial years beginning after 31 December 2013 include specific, detailed disclosures on each member of the executive board and that the table templates now attached to the Code be used for this information. For our Company, the question remains as to whether these recommendations will be followed for the first time in the financial year beginning on 1 July 2014; however, there is as yet no intention to do so. Due to the specific characteristics of the KGaA legal form, there exists no obligation to disclose the remuneration of individual Managing Directors of the general partner of the Company, Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH, as would normally be the case for the members of the executive boards of listed German stock corporations. Nonetheless, we have presented the remuneration of individual Managing Directors in the notes to the annual and consolidated financial statements on a voluntary basis; this appears to be sufficient and appropriate from the perspective of the usefulness of information.

Re section 4.3.4 sentence 3: Material transactions between the general partner and certain related parties on the one hand, and the Company on the other within the meaning of §§ 89, 112 in conjunction with §§ 278 (3), 283 no. 5 AktG (e.g., the granting of loans) require the consent of the Supervisory Board. In this sense, the Company has complied with the recommendation. Furthermore, the Supervisory Board is not authorised to adopt a list of transactions requiring its prior consent for the general partner or its Managing Directors.

Re section 4.3.5: Given that the Supervisory Board has no authority to appoint and dismiss Managing Directors of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH or to stipulate the terms of their service agreements, not it but rather the Executive Committee of

Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH is responsible for consenting to sideline activities of the Managing Directors of the general partner.

Re section 5.1.2 (1) sentences 2 and 3: Long-term succession planning is the responsibility of the Managing Directors of the Company and – given that the Supervisory Board has no authority to appoint and dismiss personnel – the Executive Committee of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH. The latter also acts to ensure sufficient diversity when staffing the management. However, given the fact that the Company has two Managing Directors, which is currently considered sufficient, and the fact that these positions have been filled for the foreseeable future, the recommendation in the Code to include women in the management does not appear practicable in the immediate future.

Re section 5.1.2 (2) sentence 2: As in the past, the Executive Committee of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH will continue to decide on the reappointment of its Managing Directors, including, even in the absence of special circumstances, prior to the end of one year before the end of the existing term of appointment. Given the specific features of the KGaA legal form and due to the desire for greater flexibility, it is not considered practicable to make any staffing decision based solely on timing and circumstances.

Re section 5.1.2 (2) sentence 3: As in the past, the Executive Committee of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH will continue to make decisions as to age limits for the Managing Directors of the general partner for upcoming (re-)appointments of Managing Directors, without generally stipulating an age limit to that extent. It is not considered practicable to set any age limits.

Re sections 5.2 (2), 5.3.1 sentence 1, 5.3.2 and 5.3.3: As in the past, the Supervisory Board will not set up committees, specifically an audit committee, because the Supervisory Board only consists of six persons and voting committees must consist of three persons. Going forward, the full Supervisory Board will continue its existing practice of discussing all issues as they arise, specifically with regard to monitoring the accounting process, the effectiveness of the internal control system, the risk management system and the internal audit system, specifically the independence of the statutory auditor and additional services rendered, the engagement of the statutory auditor, setting audit foci and the fee agreement, as well as compliance. This applies *mutatis mutandis* to the Supervisory Board's decision not to establish a

nominating committee as recommended in the Code. Moreover, this committee already consists exclusively of shareholder representatives, as required of a nominating committee by the Code.

Re section 5.4.1 (2) and (3): As in the past, the Supervisory Board will not specify concrete objectives regarding its composition that consider specific issues addressed in the Code pertaining to "age limits for supervisory board members", "diversity" or "appropriate degree of female representation" and "the number of independent supervisory board members within the meaning of section 5.4.2". The Supervisory Board believes that such limitations are not appropriate vis-à-vis other Supervisory Board member nomination criteria and prefers to decide on proposals relating to its composition in light of specific situations as they arise.

Re section 5.4.1 (4): As in the past, when submitting nominations to the Annual General Meeting, the Supervisory Board will not disclose the personal or business relationships between each candidate with the Company, the executive bodies of the Company or any material shareholder in the Company (i.e., one holding more than 10% of voting shares), because, in its opinion, no secure legal practice exists with respect to this recommendation and the legal certainty of Supervisory Board elections takes a higher priority than any effort to make legally unnecessary disclosures in connection with nominations.

Re section 5.4.3 sentence 3: No proposed candidates for the office of Chairman of the Supervisory have been or will be disclosed because the Supervisory Board considers the individual election of its members to be sufficient and a vote at the Annual General Meeting for or against a candidate with respect to their position on the Supervisory Board to be impracticable.

Re section 5.4.6 (3) sentence 1: No individualised disclosures relating to the remuneration of Supervisory Board members have been or will be made in the financial reports because it is easy to calculate the remuneration (pursuant to Article 13 (1) of the Articles of Association members of the Supervisory Board receive EUR 7,000 per year, with the Chairman receiving the double of that amount and the Deputy Chairman receiving one-and-a-half times that amount).

Re section 5.5.3 sentence 1: As in the past, the Company will continue to reserve the right to not comply with the recommendation that the Supervisory Board reports to the Annual General Meeting on conflicts of interest as they arise and how these are managed. As in the past, the principle of confidentiality of deliberations within the Supervisory Board (see § 116 sentence 2 AktG and section 3.5 (1) sentence 2 GCGC) will generally continue to take precedence.

Re section 7.1.2 sentence 2: The Company has not and will not comply with the recommendation that the management and the Supervisory Board discuss any half-yearly and quarterly financial reports prior to their publication because the objective of publishing interim financial reports without delay following their preparation by the management takes precedence. Regardless, the Supervisory Board has discussed and monitored such financial reports, and will continue to do so in the future.

Re section 7.1.2 sentence 4: Interim financial reports have been and will be published following an appropriate delay, which may exceed 45 days following the end of the reporting period in individual cases (i.e., in the case of the half-yearly financial report because this report is subjected to a voluntary audit review by the statutory auditor subsequent to its preparation).

Dortmund, 24 September 2013

On behalf of the Supervisory Board



Gerd Pieper
Chairman

On behalf of Borussia Dortmund Geschäftsführungs-GmbH



Hans-Joachim Watzke
Managing Director (Chairman)



Thomas Treß
Managing Director